

# Alerting All Americans!


Republished from—

**ECONOMIC COUNCIL LETTER 512**

By Merwin Hart, President,  
National Economic Council

**PRESIDENT Kennedy** made his scheduled appearance before the United Nations General Assembly on September 25. He presented part of the "package" program for disarmament of the nations, arming of the UN and establishment of world law above our Constitution, which carefully leaked reports have promised over several months.

The important proposal was for abandonment of nuclear arms—and end of testing, of stockpiles transfer to other nations, of nuclear weapons in outer space, and of testing and production of missiles. Along with abandonment of nuclear weapons, the President recommended an increase of UN armed forces.



Merwin Hart

**THIS is a strange farce.** Nuclear weapons are our margin of power over the communist mass armies. We are now insisting the communists give up their disadvantage while we give up our advantage. That is like asking gangsters to insist on disarming the police.

The other elements of the package plan—total disarmament and world law—are left in suspension.

We offer the cynical suggestion that the disarmament-world government proposals were delayed by the unexpected presence of Congress in Washington and the flood of mail urging Congress to remain in session and keep watch over our national security.

Apparently Kennedy's speech had been carefully timed to take place when Congress was scattered to the home districts, and without a forum. But the best-laid plans of even the planners go aft agley.

The package program is unchanged. It will be put into effect, probably even with the same time table.

**LET us remember.** The program for disarmament and world government is not new. The collectivist elite proposed it in 1945. It is mandatory in the United Nations Charter. It was the subject matter of many international conferences and studies under Dean Acheson and Alger Hiss. The men who are directing the "new" plan are the same men who have directed the steps to world government over the last sixteen years—or new men trained by them. Our representative to the UN is Adlai Stevenson, the man who forced the Navy to put communist radio operators back on Navy ships in wartime.

Both Parties are involved. Harold Stassen and John J. McCloy have made disarmament appear bi-partisan.

We have almost no defense against this creeping conquest.

Under the collectivist interpretation of the treaty clause, anything put into a treaty or Executive Agreement by the Executive Branch is in force as law over the United States, anything in the Constitution or the laws not-with-standing.

That is simple fact, not denied by any competent person. The provisions of a treaty or Executive Agreement make possible total and complete remodeling of our Government, our political system, our economy and our laws, and the new system is automatically constitutional under the new doctrine. In other words, the treaty clause is a device for changing the Con-



stitution without submitting the change in the form of an amendment submitted to Congress and the people.

That is what the collectivist elite has used the treaty doctrine for, during all these sixteen years of successful campaigns to commit the United States Government to policies the people bitterly oppose. In other words, they are an army engaged in a campaign to overcome the will of their enemy, the American people.

**THE people** who wrote and worked against the planned surrender to the UN through disarmament have won a battle but not a war.

Do we have any positive evidence that this campaign is still going on day and night to impose world government and disarmament of the nations on an unsuspecting people? You shall be the judge.

Let there be no mistake about internationalism. Like Washington, Jefferson, Franklin and the Adamses, we are in favor of all reasonable international intercourse. We are opposed to internationalism by force and every form of international power, political, legal, economic or military, over our Constitution, our people and our country.

On September 21, Chalmers Roberts reported in the Washington Post the terms of an agreement on disarmament which the United States has made with the Soviet Union, and deposited as an official document with the United Nations.

This agreement provides as follows:

The goal is general and complete disarmament. It will be accompanied by procedures for "peaceful settlement of disputes."

Non-nuclear forces are to be sufficient for internal security only. For "international" security there will be a UN "peace force." (That is, the United States will be in the position of Katanga, permitted no forces strong enough to oppose the UN armies.)

All nations are to disband their own forces, close out bases, stop making arms, eliminate all stockpiles of nuclear chemical and biological weapons, stop production of advanced weapons and international missiles. They are to abolish military organizations and military training and end all military expenditures. This means abolishing West Point, Annapolis and the Air Academy.

(We suggest you read this paragraph again—and then again.)

All steps to disarmament of the nations will be "from beginning to end under . . . strict and effective international control," by an international disarmament organization. This international agency would have "unrestricted access" to the territory of member nations. (Will it be Irish and Swedish groups or Mongolian and Ghanian forces which occupy key positions in our America?)

The UN "peace force" would be built up

with manpower from the member nations. It must be strong enough to "deter or suppress" any threat or use of arms not sanctioned by the UN Charter.

These agreements are to be as wide as possible, and effective at the earliest possible date.

We repeat—this agreement, including abolition of national armies, transfer of trained manpower to UN armies, abandonment of weapons manufacture and military training, and adoption of procedures for "peaceful settlement of disputes" (enforced by UN armies) has been SIGNED by the United States Government, and formally submitted to the UN.

What did Congress do about it? How absurd! Congress had nothing to say about it. Congress gave way its powers and the necessary funds to the Executive Branch long ago.

What did the press say about this strange agreement? Not a voice of protest was heard in the land.

Do you think Congress will object? Congress has just passed a bill setting up a new agency with the innocuous title of "U. S. Arms Control and Disarmament Agency for World Peace and Security."

That Agency has been given broad enough powers to do everything proposed in the American-Soviet agreement on disarmament and world government. The Executive had the powers before. Now it has specific Congressional approval, the right to come out in the open, and nice new funds.

This new Agency for disarmament is the culmination of years of effort, led presently by Senator Hubert Humphrey, to commit Congress to the thesis of disarmament and the propaganda campaign to Pavlov-ize the American people about "peace." In the House, 72 Members sponsored it.

**THE disarmament Agency** appears to be largely a research group, "studying" disarmament. You may think research in disarmament is research into detection of nuclear tests and the like. But look at the range of topics the new Agency intends to study. The section on research (S31) has thirteen sub-divisions defining fields of study. We mention a few:

Analysis of national budgets; levels of industrial production and economic indicators . . .

Control . . . of armed forces and armaments.

Training of scientists and technicians for control systems. (Not limited to technical controls.)

Economic and political consequences of disarmament . . . and reallocation of national resources. (Note well).

Implications of national security policies for disarmament and of disarmament on national security.

Scientific, economic, political, legal, social, psychological, military and technological factors related to the prevention of war.

Most of the technical research is now being carried on by the Defense and State Departments and the Atomic Energy Commission. The Senate Hearings on the Bill include thirty-four pages of fine print, listing only the titles of unclassified studies now being carried on by established departments. The new Agency was obviously needed for some other purpose.

The President is empowered to transfer agencies, powers and funds from existing departments to the new Agency. This means the research on disarmament now being carried on by our armed forces can be transferred to the new Agency which speaks for one-worlders.